## **CLAIM AMENDMENTS**

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

1. (Currently Amended) A method, comprising:

receiving an optimized library via a network, the optimized library including at least one optimized routine for a processing system; [[and]]

determining whether the optimized library corresponds to an application executing on the processing system in response to a library load request by the application;

loading the optimized library into system memory of the processing system if the optimized library corresponds to the application, wherein the optimized routine is for use by the application to interact with a hardware entity of the processing system; and

utilizing a non-optimized library bound to the application if the optimized library does not correspond to the application, wherein the non-optimized library includes at least one non-optimized routine for use by the application to interact with the hardware entity of the processing system.

providing the optimized routine for use by an application executing on the processing system to interact with a hardware entity of the processing system.

2. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the optimized routine comprises updated code for use by the application to increase interaction efficiency with the hardware entity of the processing system.

Attorney Docket No.: 42P18120 2 Art Unit: 2193 Application No.: 10/748,030

Examiner: Vu, Tuan A.

3. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the receiving the optimized library via the network comprises receiving the optimized library via the network during an operating system ("OS") runtime of the processing system.

4. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 3, further comprising: receiving an optimization header packet via the network; and determining that the optimization optimized library is suitable for the processing system based on a module type field within the optimization header packet.

5. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 4 wherein the module type field includes a globally unique identifier ("GUID") for determining that the optimization optimized library is suitable for the processing system.

6. (Original) The method of claim 4, further comprising:

ignoring other optimized libraries broadcast on the network if corresponding other optimization packets are determined to be unsuitable for the processing system based on the module type filed.

7. (Original) The method of claim 3, further comprising:

storing the optimized library to a nonvolatile storage device of the processing system; and

inserting a entry into a pointer table of the processing system, the entry pointing to the optimized library.

Attorney Docket No.: 42P18120 Application No.: 10/748,030 8. (Original) The method of claim 7 wherein the pointer table comprises one of a Secondary System Description Table ("SSDT") defined by an Advanced Configuration and Power Interface ("ACPI") and an Extensive Firmware Interface ("EFI")

configuration table.

9. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 7 wherein providing the optimized

routine for use by the application determining whether the optimized library corresponds

to the application, comprises:

executing an optimization extension bound to the application, the optimization

extension to request a load of the optimized library; and

querying the pointer table for the entry pointing to the optimized library stored

within the nonvolatile storage device[[; and]]

loading the optimized library into system memory of the processing system.

10. (Currently Amended) The method of claim 9 where providing the optimized

routine for use by the application further comprising:

advertising the entry point for the optimized routine of the optimized library to

the application, the entry point referencing a location within the system memory of the

optimized routine.

11. (Original) The method of claim 9 wherein the optimized library is further

loaded into a user mode space of the processing system.

Attorney Docket No.: 42P18120 Application No.: 10/748,030

12. (Original) The method of claim 1 wherein the processing system comprises a management module of a rack of blade servers, and further comprising forwarding the optimized library to one or more of the blade servers via an out-of-band channel.

13. (Currently Amended) A machine-accessible medium that provides instructions that, if executed by a machine, will cause the machine to perform operations comprising:

identifying that an optimized library transmitted over a network is intended for the machine, the optimized library including at least one optimized routine for interacting with a hardware entity of the machine;

receiving the optimized library via the network; [[and]]

determining whether the optimized library corresponds to an application executing on the processing system in response to a library load request by the application;

loading the optimized library into system memory of the processing system if the optimized library corresponds to the application;

utilizing a non-optimized library bound to the application if the optimized library does not correspond to the application, wherein the non-optimized library includes at least one non-optimized routine for use by the application to interact with the hardware entity of the processing system; and

Attorney Docket No.: 42P18120 5 Examiner: Vu, Tuan A. Application No.: 10/748,030 Art Unit: 2193

advertising one of the non-optimized routine and the optimized routine for use by

an application executing in a user mode space of the machine to interact with the

hardware entity.

14. (Original) The machine-accessible medium of claim 13 wherein identifying

the optimized library, receiving the optimized library, and advertising the optimized

library are to be performed during an operating system ("OS") runtime of the machine.

15. (Original) The machine-accessible medium of claim 14 wherein the

optimized routine comprises updated code to increase interaction efficiency with the

hardware entity of the machine.

16. (Original) The machine-accessible medium of claim 15 wherein the

hardware entity comprises a processor of the machine.

17. (Currently Amended) The machine-accessible medium of claim 13 wherein

identifying that the optimized library transmitted over the network is intended for the

machine further comprises performing operations, including:

receiving an optimization header packet via the network; and

determining that the optimization optimized library is suitable for the machine

based on a module type field within the optimization header packet.

Attorney Docket No.: 42P18120 Application No.: 10/748,030

18. (Original) The machine-accessible medium of claim 13, further providing

instructions that, if executed by the machine, will cause the machine to perform further

operations, comprising:

storing the optimized library to a nonvolatile storage device of the machine; and

inserting an entry into a pointer table of the machine, the entry to point to the

optimized library.

19. (Original) The machine-accessible medium of claim 18, further providing

instructions that, if executed by the machine, will cause the machine to perform further

operations, comprising:

executing an optimization extension bound to the application, the optimization

extension to request a load of the optimized library;

querying the pointer table for the entry pointing to the optimized library stored

within the nonvolatile storage device; and

loading the optimized library into the user mode space of the machine.

20. (Currently Amended) A processing system, comprising:

a processor;

a network link communicatively coupled to the processor; and

a storage device communicatively coupled to the processor, the storage device

including instructions which when executed by the processor perform operations,

comprising:

Attorney Docket No.: 42P18120 Application No.: 10/748,030

monitoring traffic on the network link for an optimized library including

at least one optimized routine intended for the processing system;

receiving the optimized library via the network link; [[and]]

determining whether the optimized library corresponds to an application

executing on the processing system in response to a library load request by the

application;

loading the optimized library into system memory of the processing

system if the optimized library corresponds to the application;

utilizing a non-optimized library bound to the application if the optimized

library does not correspond to the application, wherein the non-optimized library

includes at least one non-optimized routine for use by the application to interact

with the hardware entity of the processing system; and

advertising one of the non-optimized routine and the optimized routine to

a user mode space of the processing system for use by an application to interact

with a hardware entity of the processing system.

21. (Original) The processing system of claim 20 wherein the instructions are to

be executed by the processing system during an operating system runtime of the

processing system.

22. (Original) The processing system of claim 20 wherein execution of the

instructions further performs operations comprising:

parsing an optimization header packet received via the network link; and

Attorney Docket No.: 42P18120 Application No.: 10/748,030

recognizing whether the optimized library is intended for the processing system

based on a module type field of the of the optimization header packet.

23. (Original) The processing system of claim 20 wherein the application

includes an optimization extension to request a load of the optimized library upon

execution of the application.

24. (Original) The processing system of claim 20 wherein the hardware entity is

the processor.

25. (Original) The processing system of claim 24 wherein the optimized routine

comprises updated code for interacting with the processor in a more efficient manner.

26-30 (Canceled)

Attorney Docket No.: 42P18120 Application No.: 10/748,030